

Ce site utilise des cookies provenant de Google pour fournir ses services et analyser le trafic. Votre adresse IP et votre user-agent, ainsi que des statistiques relatives aux performances et à la sécurité, sont transmis à Google afin d'assurer un service de qualité, de générer des statistiques d'utilisation, et de détecter et de résoudre les problèmes d'abus.

EN SAVOIR PLUS **OK !**

```
00 0000: 0 (Atom)
```

Share this

About Me



Total Pageviews

Share This

Powered by Blogger.

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of characters and symbols, likely representing a corrupted or heavily redacted document. It contains no legible words or phrases.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It is mostly illegible due to extreme blurring and low contrast. The text appears to be a single paragraph or a series of lines of prose, but the specific words and sentences cannot be transcribed accurately.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of characters and symbols, likely representing a corrupted or heavily redacted document. It contains no legible words or phrases.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It is mostly illegible due to extreme blurring and low contrast. The text appears to be a single paragraph or a series of closely related sentences, but the specific words and structure cannot be discerned.]

the information science community. The first is the lack of a common language. The second is the lack of a common methodology. The third is the lack of a common research agenda.

The first two issues are addressed in the first two sections of the paper. The third issue is addressed in the third section of the paper. The fourth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The first section of the paper discusses the lack of a common language. The second section of the paper discusses the lack of a common methodology. The third section of the paper discusses the lack of a common research agenda.

The fourth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The fifth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The sixth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The seventh section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The eighth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The ninth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The tenth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The eleventh section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The twelfth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The thirteenth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The fourteenth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The fifteenth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The sixteenth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The seventeenth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The eighteenth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The nineteenth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The twentieth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The twenty-first section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The twenty-second section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The twenty-third section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The twenty-fourth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The twenty-fifth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The twenty-sixth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The twenty-seventh section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The twenty-eighth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The twenty-ninth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The thirtieth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The thirty-first section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The thirty-second section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The thirty-third section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It is mostly illegible due to extreme blurring and low contrast. The text appears to be a single paragraph or a series of closely related sentences, but the specific words and structure cannot be discerned.]

the information science community. The first is the lack of a common language. The second is the lack of a common methodology. The third is the lack of a common research agenda.

The first two issues are addressed in the first two sections of the paper. The third issue is addressed in the third section of the paper. The fourth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The first section of the paper discusses the lack of a common language. The second section of the paper discusses the lack of a common methodology. The third section of the paper discusses the lack of a common research agenda.

The fourth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The fifth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The sixth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The seventh section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The eighth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The ninth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The tenth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The eleventh section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The twelfth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The thirteenth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The fourteenth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The fifteenth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The sixteenth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The seventeenth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The eighteenth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The nineteenth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The twentieth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The twenty-first section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The twenty-second section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The twenty-third section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The twenty-fourth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The twenty-fifth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The twenty-sixth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The twenty-seventh section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The twenty-eighth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The twenty-ninth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The thirtieth section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The thirty-first section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

The thirty-second section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community. The thirty-third section of the paper discusses the implications of the findings for the information science community.

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It is mostly illegible due to extreme blurring and low contrast. The text appears to be a single paragraph or a series of closely related sentences, but the specific words and structure cannot be discerned.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It is mostly illegible due to extreme blurring and low contrast. The text appears to be a single paragraph or a series of closely related sentences, but the specific words and structure cannot be discerned.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of characters and symbols, likely representing a corrupted or heavily redacted document. It contains no legible words or phrases.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It is mostly illegible due to extreme blurring and low contrast. The text appears to be a single paragraph or a series of closely related sentences, but the specific words and structure cannot be discerned.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It is mostly illegible due to the quality of the scan and the density of the text. The text appears to be a single paragraph or a section of a larger document, but the specific content cannot be accurately transcribed.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It is mostly illegible due to extreme blurring and low contrast. The text appears to be a single paragraph or a series of lines of prose, but the specific words and sentences cannot be transcribed accurately.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It is mostly illegible due to extreme blurring and low contrast. The text appears to be a single paragraph or a series of lines of prose, but the specific words and sentences cannot be transcribed accurately.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It is mostly illegible due to extreme blurring and low resolution. The text appears to be a single paragraph or a series of lines of prose, but the specific words and sentences cannot be transcribed accurately.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It is mostly illegible due to extreme blurring and low contrast. The text appears to be a single paragraph or a series of closely related sentences, but the specific words and structure cannot be discerned.]

[REDACTED]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of characters and symbols, likely representing a corrupted or heavily redacted document. It contains no legible words or phrases.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It is mostly illegible due to extreme blurring and low contrast. The text appears to be a single paragraph or a series of closely related sentences, but the specific words and structure cannot be discerned.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It appears to be a mix of English and possibly some non-English characters, but the overall structure suggests a single paragraph or a series of lines of text. Due to the low resolution and potential noise in the scan, the specific words and punctuation are difficult to discern accurately. The text is oriented vertically on the page.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It is mostly illegible due to extreme blurring and low contrast. The text appears to be a single paragraph or a series of closely related sentences, but the specific words and structure cannot be discerned.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It appears to be a mix of English and possibly some non-English characters, but the overall structure suggests a single paragraph or a series of lines of text. Due to the low resolution and potential noise in the scan, the specific words and punctuation are difficult to discern accurately. The text is oriented vertically on the page.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It is mostly illegible due to extreme blurring and low contrast. The text appears to be a single paragraph or a series of closely related sentences, but the specific words and structure cannot be discerned.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It appears to be a mix of English and possibly some non-English characters, but the overall structure suggests a single paragraph or a series of lines of text. Due to the low resolution and potential noise in the scan, the specific words and punctuation are difficult to discern accurately. The text is oriented vertically on the page.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It is mostly illegible due to extreme blurring and low contrast. The text appears to be a single paragraph or a series of lines of prose, but the specific words and sentences cannot be transcribed accurately.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It appears to be a mix of English and possibly some non-English characters, but the overall structure suggests a single paragraph or a series of lines of text. Due to the low resolution and potential noise in the scan, the specific words and punctuation are difficult to discern accurately. The text is oriented vertically on the page.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It is mostly illegible due to extreme blurring and low contrast. The text appears to be a single paragraph or a series of lines of prose, but the specific words and sentences cannot be transcribed accurately.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It is mostly illegible due to extreme blurring and low contrast. The text appears to be a single paragraph or a section of a larger document, but the specific words and sentences cannot be transcribed accurately.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It is mostly illegible due to extreme blurring and low contrast. The text appears to be a single paragraph or a series of lines of prose, but the specific words and sentences cannot be transcribed accurately.]

the 1990s, the number of people in the United States who are obese has increased by 50% (Flegal et al. 2002). In the United Kingdom, the prevalence of obesity has increased from 10% in 1980 to 15% in 1998 (Health Survey for England 2000). In the United States, the prevalence of obesity has increased from 15% in 1980 to 25% in 1998 (Flegal et al. 2002).

Obesity is a complex condition, and its aetiology is multifactorial. It is a result of an imbalance between energy intake and energy expenditure. The energy intake is determined by the amount of food and drink consumed, and the energy expenditure is determined by the amount of physical activity. The imbalance between energy intake and energy expenditure is the result of a combination of genetic, environmental, and behavioural factors.

Obesity is a major public health problem, and it is associated with a number of health problems, including type 2 diabetes, heart disease, and stroke. It is also associated with a number of psychological problems, including depression and anxiety. Obesity is a complex condition, and its aetiology is multifactorial. It is a result of an imbalance between energy intake and energy expenditure.

The energy intake is determined by the amount of food and drink consumed, and the energy expenditure is determined by the amount of physical activity. The imbalance between energy intake and energy expenditure is the result of a combination of genetic, environmental, and behavioural factors. Obesity is a major public health problem, and it is associated with a number of health problems, including type 2 diabetes, heart disease, and stroke.

It is also associated with a number of psychological problems, including depression and anxiety. Obesity is a complex condition, and its aetiology is multifactorial. It is a result of an imbalance between energy intake and energy expenditure. The energy intake is determined by the amount of food and drink consumed, and the energy expenditure is determined by the amount of physical activity.

The imbalance between energy intake and energy expenditure is the result of a combination of genetic, environmental, and behavioural factors. Obesity is a major public health problem, and it is associated with a number of health problems, including type 2 diabetes, heart disease, and stroke. It is also associated with a number of psychological problems, including depression and anxiety.

Obesity is a complex condition, and its aetiology is multifactorial. It is a result of an imbalance between energy intake and energy expenditure. The energy intake is determined by the amount of food and drink consumed, and the energy expenditure is determined by the amount of physical activity. The imbalance between energy intake and energy expenditure is the result of a combination of genetic, environmental, and behavioural factors.

Obesity is a major public health problem, and it is associated with a number of health problems, including type 2 diabetes, heart disease, and stroke. It is also associated with a number of psychological problems, including depression and anxiety. Obesity is a complex condition, and its aetiology is multifactorial. It is a result of an imbalance between energy intake and energy expenditure.

The energy intake is determined by the amount of food and drink consumed, and the energy expenditure is determined by the amount of physical activity. The imbalance between energy intake and energy expenditure is the result of a combination of genetic, environmental, and behavioural factors. Obesity is a major public health problem, and it is associated with a number of health problems, including type 2 diabetes, heart disease, and stroke.

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of characters and symbols, likely representing a corrupted or heavily redacted document. It contains no legible words or phrases.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It appears to be a mix of English and possibly some non-English characters, but the overall structure suggests a single paragraph or a series of closely related sentences. The text is too blurry and low-contrast to transcribe accurately, but it seems to contain several lines of prose.]

[Illegible text block containing approximately 10-12 lines of text, likely a paragraph or a list of items.]

[The following text is redacted and represented by a large black box.]

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of characters and symbols, likely representing a corrupted or heavily redacted document. It contains no legible words or phrases.]

the 1990s, the number of people in the UK who are employed in the public sector has increased by 1.5 million, from 2.5 million in 1980 to 4 million in 1995. The public sector has also become an important employer of women, with 1.5 million women employed in the public sector in 1995, compared with 1.2 million in 1980.

There are a number of reasons why the public sector has become an important employer of women. One reason is that the public sector has a high proportion of women in its workforce. In 1995, 75% of the public sector workforce were women, compared with 65% in 1980. This is due to a number of factors, including the fact that the public sector has a high proportion of jobs that are traditionally held by women, such as teaching, nursing, and social work.

Another reason why the public sector has become an important employer of women is that it has a high proportion of jobs that are part-time or flexible. This is due to the fact that the public sector has a high proportion of jobs that are traditionally held by women, such as teaching, nursing, and social work. These jobs are often part-time or flexible, which makes them more attractive to women.

A third reason why the public sector has become an important employer of women is that it has a high proportion of jobs that are well-paid. This is due to the fact that the public sector has a high proportion of jobs that are traditionally held by women, such as teaching, nursing, and social work. These jobs are often well-paid, which makes them more attractive to women.

There are a number of other reasons why the public sector has become an important employer of women. For example, the public sector has a high proportion of jobs that are secure, and it has a high proportion of jobs that are well-located. These factors also make the public sector an attractive employer for women.

In conclusion, the public sector has become an important employer of women in the UK. This is due to a number of factors, including the fact that the public sector has a high proportion of women in its workforce, a high proportion of jobs that are part-time or flexible, and a high proportion of jobs that are well-paid. These factors make the public sector an attractive employer for women.

Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 2001, 31(1), 110–117. © 2001 Blackwell Science Ltd

Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 2001, 31(1), 110–117. © 2001 Blackwell Science Ltd

Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 2001, 31(1), 110–117. © 2001 Blackwell Science Ltd

Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 2001, 31(1), 110–117. © 2001 Blackwell Science Ltd

Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 2001, 31(1), 110–117. © 2001 Blackwell Science Ltd

Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 2001, 31(1), 110–117. © 2001 Blackwell Science Ltd

Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 2001, 31(1), 110–117. © 2001 Blackwell Science Ltd

Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 2001, 31(1), 110–117. © 2001 Blackwell Science Ltd

[The following text is a dense, continuous block of text, likely a scan of a document page. It is mostly illegible due to the quality of the scan and the density of the text. The text appears to be a single paragraph or a series of closely related sentences. The visible fragments of text are as follows:]

[Illegible text block]

the 1990s, the number of people in the UK who are employed in the public sector has increased by 1.5 million, from 2.5 million in 1980 to 4 million in 1995. The public sector has also become an important employer of women, with 1.5 million women employed in the public sector in 1995, compared with 1.2 million in 1980.

There are a number of reasons why the public sector has become an important employer of women. One reason is that the public sector has a high proportion of women in its workforce. In 1995, 75% of the public sector workforce were women, compared with 65% in 1980. This is due to a number of factors, including the fact that the public sector has a high proportion of jobs that are traditionally held by women, such as teaching, nursing, and social work.

Another reason why the public sector has become an important employer of women is that it has a high proportion of jobs that are part-time or flexible. This is due to the fact that the public sector has a high proportion of jobs that are traditionally held by women, such as teaching, nursing, and social work. These jobs are often part-time or flexible, which makes them more attractive to women.

A third reason why the public sector has become an important employer of women is that it has a high proportion of jobs that are well-paid. This is due to the fact that the public sector has a high proportion of jobs that are traditionally held by women, such as teaching, nursing, and social work. These jobs are often well-paid, which makes them more attractive to women.

There are a number of other reasons why the public sector has become an important employer of women. For example, the public sector has a high proportion of jobs that are secure, and it has a high proportion of jobs that are well-located. These factors also make the public sector an attractive employer for women.

In conclusion, the public sector has become an important employer of women in the UK. This is due to a number of factors, including the fact that the public sector has a high proportion of women in its workforce, a high proportion of jobs that are part-time or flexible, and a high proportion of jobs that are well-paid. These factors make the public sector an attractive employer for women.

Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 2001, 31(1), 110–117. © 2001 Blackwell Science Ltd

Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 2001, 31(1), 110–117. © 2001 Blackwell Science Ltd

Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 2001, 31(1), 110–117. © 2001 Blackwell Science Ltd

Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 2001, 31(1), 110–117. © 2001 Blackwell Science Ltd

Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 2001, 31(1), 110–117. © 2001 Blackwell Science Ltd

Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 2001, 31(1), 110–117. © 2001 Blackwell Science Ltd

Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 2001, 31(1), 110–117. © 2001 Blackwell Science Ltd

Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 2001, 31(1), 110–117. © 2001 Blackwell Science Ltd

